



Judging Faiths: Religious Freedom at the Bar in U.S. and European Courts

Organisers: Olivier Roy | RSCAS/EUI

Pasquale Annicchino | RSCAS/EUI

Conference Room, Villa La Fonte via delle Fontanelle, 18 – San Domenico di Fiesole

23-24 October 2014

■ CONTEXT

In recent years many research projects, especially in the United States, Europe and Canada, have begun to investigate the interactions among law, religion and politics. Several research findings highlight the key role courts play in defining the concepts and tools that states use to manage religious freedom in our pluralistic societies. While the resulting tensions have been explored at length in the social sciences, their treatment in law has remained theoretically underdeveloped, due to the problematic categorization of the case-by-case judicial approach.

Building on the workshop organized in cooperation with the Berkeley Law School in September 2013, this Workshop aims again to bring together a group of distinguished judges, scholars and advocates to discuss the tensions between faith-based practices and secular ideals in court. While the discussants will be primarily new to this Workshop, we hope that it can build on some of the shared common discoveries of the Berkeley workshop. These insights include: how, despite very different institutional frameworks, the similar ways US and European Courts make room for local variation, through a jurisprudence of avoidance; the ways that Americanstyle (and often American-backed) litigation activists are transforming what has been a European group-based conception of religious freedom into an individualistic conception; and common strategies through which litigants translate matters of faith into the interests protected at law.

The workshop will focus on the contribution by legal scholars, judges and lawyers, with the aim of assessing the practical outcome of theoretical legal developments as they result from the adjudication of faith-based claims. It will also outline the state of the art with contributions by principal investigators from finalized and ongoing research projects in law and other social sciences, analyzing trends in the area of religious freedom across both public and private law. Secondly, the workshop A final session will be devoted to the pending issues (e.g. antidiscrimination norms, neutrality, circulation of legal arguments, strategic litigation by religious groups) which courts confront when their decisions affect the management of religious pluralism and religious freedom, and will look as well to challenges ahead.



■ Programme

Thursday 23 October

08.30-09.15 Welcome and Introduction to the workshop

09.15-11.30 **Dealing with Religion in Courts**

Chair: Michel Rosenfeld | Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law

Religion as a Global Legal Problem

Lorenzo Zucca | King's College University of London The Deteriorating Wall between Church and State

William A. Fletcher | United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Freedom of Religion: Is It a 'Lesser' Right under the ECHR?

Anne Power-Forde | Former Judge European Court of Human Rights

The Secular Court? Trends in the United States Supreme Court and the European Court

of Human Rights Compared

Effie Fokas | ELIAMEP

11.30-12.00 **C**OFFEE BREAK

12.00-13.45 Law and Religion across the Atlantic: Open Issues

Chair: Marsha Berzon | United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Schools, Religious Comnunities, and the Morality of Cross-Subsidies

Christopher Kutz | UC Berkeley (via Skype)

Judging Religious Questions: The Bounds of Adjudicative Disability

Frederick Gedicks | BYU Law School

The Conscience Wars: Rethinking the Balance between Religion, Identity and Equality

Susanna Mancini | The University of Bologna

13.45-15.00 **L**UNCH

15.00-17.00 Law and Religion across the Atlantic: Open Issues (cont.)

Chair: Joseph R. Grodin | UC Hastings

Equality and Discrimination Law: What's Religious Conscience Got to Do With It?

Malehia Malik | King's College University of London

Comparing U.S. and French Secularism in the Public Sphere: An Outsider Perspective on Town of Greece v. Galloway (U.S. Supreme Court) and S.A.S. v. France (ECtHR)

David Oppenheimer | UC Berkeley

Reasonable Accommodation: The Turning of the Tide on Judicial Pragmatism

Mark Hill, QC | Cardiff University

17.00-17.15 **C**OFFEE BREAK

17.15-17.45 Introduction to next day sessions

20.00 **President's lecture:** Freedom from Religion

Joseph H. H. Weiler | EUI

DINNER

Friday 24 October

09.00-11.00 Roundtable and Comparative Discussion

Session I – The Comparative Meaning of Freedom of Religion and Exercise

Chairs: Fred Gedicks | BYU Law School

Pasquale Annicchino | EUI

Diletta Tega | University of Bologna/Italian Constitutional Court

John Francis Larkin, QC | Office of the Attorney General for Northern Ireland

Louise Melling | American Civil Liberties Union

Ronan McCrea | University College London

11.00-11.30 **C**OFFEE BREAK

11.30-13.30 Roundtable and Comparative Discussion

Session II – Debating Tolerance

Chair: Marco Ventura | KU Leuven

Javier Martinez Torron | Complutense University

Nehal Butha | EUI

Eric Rassbach | Becket Fund for Religious Liberty

Vincent De Gaetano | European Court of Human Rights

13.30-15.00 Lunch

15.00-17.00 Courts and Judges: The Role of Jurisdiction in the Future of Law, Religion and Politics

Chair: Marie Claire Foblets | Max Planck Institute

Opening Remarks
Olivier Roy | EUI

Giuliano Amato | Italian Constitutional Court

Marco Ventura | KU Leuven

17.00 17.30 **C**OFFEE BREAK

17.30-18.30 Final discussion among the participants